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ABSTRACT

Preliminary results on random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers for Callinectes (Crustacea, Portunidae) species are presented in this paper. Six ten-base-pair primers were tested in C. sapidus and C. danae with the aim to find the best conditions of possible RAPDs markers suitable for the discrimination of Callinectes species and for future studies on population genetics. Two primers (P1 and P5) were not scorable. Primer P2 was conservative within species and therefore was useful for the discrimination of C. sapidus and C. danae. A phenetic similarity of 0.250 between both species was calculated according to Nei & Li's (1985) formulation. Primer P3 was successfully resolved only for C. sapidus, showing a conservative pattern among individuals. Primer P6 was studied only for C. sapidus and was the only variable one, showing 13 bands with different frequencies. It was discussed the use of these RAPDs primers for the discrimination of Callinectes species and for future studies on population genetics. It was also recognised the need to increase the number of individuals compared for these primers and the need to test other primers.
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INTRODUCTION

The genus Callinectes is well known in all America, because most species of the genus constitute important commercial resources. In the South of Brazil, Callinectes sapidus Rathbun, 1896 and Callinectes danae Smith, 1869, are the most abundant species. While C. sapidus is dominant in the southernmost of Brazil (Rio Grande do Sul), C. danae gets more frequent than C. sapidus in the State of Santa Catarina and further north. Although both species show morphological characters that allow to distinguish them [e.g. extra-long male gonopods, two-teethed rostrum and white-bluish chelipeds in C. sapidus; long male gonopods, four-teethed rostrum and violet-purple chelipeds in C. danae], most fishermen are unable to discriminate them. In the South of Brazil, they are mainly exploited as by-catch of shrimps of the genus Penaeus (Viera et al., 1998) with the exception of the illegal catch of blue crabs during the reproduction period of C. sapidus, which aims the illegal sale of ovigerous females.

The importance of C. sapidus and C. danae in the South of Brazil is not only due to their artisanal fishery, but also because of their strong influence in the structure of the benthic communities of estuarine and mangrove systems (Hines et al., 1987; Martins et al., 1989; Flitz & Wiegert, 1990; Garcia et al., 1996).

The random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) technique (Williams et al., 1990, Welsh & McClelland 1990; Cushwa & Medrano, 1995) chosen for this study, has been widely used in the last years to assess intraspecific genetic variation on a nuclear level.
in a number of different organisms such as bacteria (Welsh & McClelland, 1990), aphids (Black et al., 1992), bees (Suaze et al., 1998), snails (Stothard et al., 1997), prawns (Tassanakajon et al., 1997; Meruane et al., 1997), anomalan (D’Amato & Corach, 1997) and fishes (Bielawski & Pumo, 1997; Mamuris et al., 1998). This technique utilises a single short primer of arbitrary sequence to amplify random segments of genomic DNA using the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). This method allows the examination of genome variation without previous knowledge of DNA sequences and is less expensive and time consuming than RFLP, Microsatellite and DNA sequence analysis.

The aim of this work was to adjust conditions for some RAPs primers, that might be useful as molecular markers for future studies on the population genetics of blue crabs and for the genetic identification of these species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Callinectes* specimens from two species [*Callinectes sapidus* (N=80) and *C. danae* (N=80)] were collected using beam trawls from the Petos Lagoon estuary. Only mature crabs were selected for analysis. After settling up conditions, five individuals of each species were used for comparisons with primer P2; six individuals of *C. sapidus* were used for primers P3 and P4; and nine individuals of *C. sapidus* for primer P6.

Total DNA was extracted according to Sambrook et al. (1989) after modifications. About 100 mg of muscle tissue from each specimen were ground with glass pestles in 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes containing 500 µl of extraction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 100 mM NaCl; 25 mM EDTA; 1% SDS; and 1 µg/ml Proteinase K). Samples were incubated at 55°C for 2 h and extracted twice with phenol/chloroform/isooamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and twice with chloroform/isooamyl alcohol (24:1). The DNA was precipitated with two volumes of ice-cold absolute ethanol for about 2 h at -20°C. The precipitated DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. After a wash with 70% ethanol, it was vacuum dried and resuspended in 100 µl TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 1 mM EDTA).

Six decamer primers (P1-P6), purchased from Pharmacia Biotech do Brasil Ltda, were tested to assess genetic markers useful for discriminating between species and for estimations of intraspecific variability.

Experiments were run to test the effect of DNA, dNTPs, Mg²⁺ and Taq polymerase concentrations and to determine the optimum annealing temperature. Best results were obtained with the following PCR conditions: 50 µl reaction mixture containing 30 µg of template DNA, one unit of Taq polymerase (Pharmacia); 0.2 mM dNTPs; 2 µM of primer; and 1× reaction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3; 50 mM KCl; 1.5 mM MgCl₂; 0.001% gelatin). Reactions were performed by a thermocycler (MJ Research Inc.) programmed for an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 4 min, followed by 35 cycles at 92°C for 1 min; at 36°C for 1 min; at 72°C for 2 min; and a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. Then 10 µl of the reaction products were separated on a 2% agarose gel containing 0.5 µg/ml of ethidium bromide in TAE buffer (40 mM Tris-acetate, 1 mM EDTA). Bands were visualised by ultraviolet (UV) fluorescence and photographed. Approximate DNA fragment size (bp) was estimated using a 1kb ladder.

Similarity between *C. sapidus* and *C. danae* was estimated for P2, using Nei & Li (1985) formula, \[ S = 2 N_{ab} / N_a + N_b \], where \( N_{ab} \) is the number of bands shared by species a and b; and \( N_a \) and \( N_b \) are the number of bands exclusive of a and b, respectively. This similarity was used analysing data as phenotypic traits using the
presence (presence of an amplifiable site) and absence (absence of the amplifiable site) of bands as states of a binary character.

RESULTS

The results obtained after testing six primers (P1-P6) are summarised in Table I. Primers P1 and P5 were not scorable, because they did not give reliable results. Primer P2 was scorable for both species, C. sapidus and C. danae, and was not variable within species for the individuals tested (Fig. 1). Therefore, it represented a good molecular marker for distinguishing between both C. sapidus and C. danae. Only one (band 7) out of nine bands (see Fig. 1) was shared by both species, giving a Nei & Li's (1985) phenetic similarity of 0.250. Primer P3 was experimented for both species, but only C. sapidus gave good results, showing the same five bands in the six individuals compared. Figure 2 shows the pattern of four bands obtained using primer P3. A fifth band, weak in some individuals, was found situated between the first and the second band. Primer P4 was tested only for C. sapidus and did not show any variation among the six individuals studied, showing all the same nine bands (Fig. 3). As the bands were not strong enough to be reproduced from the original photograph, it was drawn the pattern obtained and the approximate size of each DNA fragment was given. Primer P6 was successfully resolved for nine individuals of C. sapidus, which showed thirteen highly variable markers (bands). Table II shows the approximate size (bp) of each band, and for each individual, if it was present (1) or absent (0).

Table I. Summary results of the primers tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>5'-Sequence-3'</th>
<th>Scorable</th>
<th>Polymorphic</th>
<th>Species*</th>
<th>No. of bands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>GGTGCCGGAAA</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>S/D</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>GTTCGCTCC</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>S/D</td>
<td>6/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>GTAGACCGGT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>AAGAGCCGGT</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P5</td>
<td>AAGCGGCAAC</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>S/D</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P6</td>
<td>CCCGTCAGCA</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*S = C. sapidus; D = C. danae

DISCUSSION

The RAPD technique was developed in 1990 (Williams et al., 1990; Welch & McClelland, 1990) and since then it has been widely used with various purposes. Most works on decaped crustaceans dealt with Penaeus spp. problems (see e.g. Garcia et al., 1994; Garcia & Benzle, 1995; Tassanakajon et al., 1997; Meruane et al., 1997), while no papers, as far as we know, has been published using RAPDs on brachyurans. Recently, the anomuran Aegla jujuyama was studied by D'Amato & Corach (1997). The present work might be one of the few works done using RAPDs in brachyurans, if not the first one. The preliminary results of this study showed that RAPDs method is a powerful tool to discriminate Callinectes species and to obtain genetic markers with high intraspecific variation for population genetic studies. The phenetic similarity obtained,
Figure 1: *Callinectes sapidus* and *C. danae*. RAPDs pattern after using Primer P2.
Figure 2: *Callinectes sapidus*. RAPDs pattern after using Primer P3.

Figure 3: *Callinectes sapidus*. RAPDs pattern after using primer P4.
Table II: Primer P6 in C. sapidus. Approximate size of DNA markers (bp), their presence (1) or absence (0) for each presumptive locus in the nine individuals studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARKER</th>
<th>APPROX. SIZE</th>
<th>INDIVIDUAL</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1492</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>950</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>881</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>830</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>711</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>595</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>506</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>478</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>316</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;298</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Nei & Li (1985) method, between C. sapidus and C. danae seems low when compared with Nei (1978) genetic similarity (0.530) obtained for these species by allozymes (Weber, L., unpublished data). This is an evidence that higher number of primers should be used for getting more accurate estimates of phenetic and genetic similarities between species. Nevertheless, this fact, does not diminish the potential use of RAPDs for distinction of species. In this study, Primer P2 showed three markers exclusive of C. danae and five exclusive of C. sapidus, indicating their potential for future studies on postlarval species identification. Although, primers P3 and P4 were only resolved for C. sapidus, they appear to be conservative from the individuals compared. They seem to be good candidates for comparisons between species.

Primer P6 showed intraspecific variation, therefore it may be useful for studying the population genetics of blue crabs, since allozymes have revealed very low genetic diversity in C. sapidus [see e.g. 0.077 (Cole & Morgan, 1978); 0.040 (McMillen-Jackson et al., 1994); 0.023-0.028 (Berthelemy-Okazaki & Okazaki, 1997)]. Meehan & Scoles (1988) recommended the use of mtDNA for studying the population genetics of the blue crab, because it generally evolves more rapidly than nuclear DNA and therefore it is a sensitive indicator of geographic structuring of populations. However, genotypes obtained from mtDNA are unrecombinant characters (haplotypes) transmitted usually through females, and therefore, it describes only maternal lines in most species (Avise, 1994).

The RAPD technique has the advantage of working with nuclear DNA and being less expensive and time-consuming than other techniques, but it also has serious disadvantages. The main one, is the problem while analysing data. Although, Lynch & Milligan (1994) developed a statistical framework for analysing population genetic data, it is based on two assumptions (bi-allelic system with a dominant marker and a null allele; and that the population is in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium) that might not be realistic in most cases. The alternative analysis, used mainly for taxonomy and
phylogeny, analyse data only as phenetic traits (presence and absence of an amplifiable site). The increasing use of RAPDs for screening cultured species and for taxonomic identification of problematic species, indicate that the technique is a good tool for solving problems, and in many cases, more variable than previous techniques (e.g. allozymes).
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